1	Regina A. Habermas, Esq.				
	Nevada Bar No. 8481				
2	GHIDOTTI BERGER LLP				
3	415 S. 6 th Street, #310				
	Las Vegas, NV 89101				
4	Tel: (949) 427-2010 Fax: (949) 427-2732				
5	ghabermas@ghidottiberger.com				
	Attorneys for Secured Creditors,				
6	U.S. Bank Trust National Association as Trustee of the Lodge Series III Trust,				
7	U.S. Bank Trust National Association as Trustee of the Chalet Series III Trust,				
		rustee of the Bungalow Series IV Trust, and			
8	U.S. Bank Trust National Association as T	rustee of the Bungalow Series F Trust			
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT				
0	DISTRICT OF NEVADA – LAS VEGAS DIVISION				
1					
	In Re:	Case No.: 09-29123-mkn			
12	MELANI SCHULTE and	Chantan 11			
3	WILLIAM SCHULTE,	Chapter 11			
	WILLIAM SCHOLIE,	Jointly Administered with:			
4					
15	2704 SATTLEY LLC,	09-27238-BAM			
	HOT ENDEAVOR LLC,	09-27909-BAM			
16	1341 MINUET LLC,	09-27910-BAM			
_	1708 PLATO PICO LLC,	09-27911-BAM			
17	2228 WARM WALNUT LLC,	09-27912-BAM			
8	9425 VALLEY HILLS LLC,	09-27913-BAM			
10	9500 ASPEN GLOW LLC,	09-27914-BAM			
9	5218 MISTY MORNING LLC,	09-27916-BAM			
	CHERISH LLC,	09-28513-BAM			
20	SABRECO INC.,	09-31584-BAM			
1	KEEP SAFE LLC,	09-31585-BAM			
21	D-14	DEDLY IN CURROR OF AMENDER			
22	Debtors.	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF AMENDED MOTION TO CLARIFY PLAN			
23		TREATMENT REGARDING VARIOUS REAL PROPERTIES (DOC. 1351)			
24		REAL I ROI ERTIES (DOC. 1331)			
		Hearing Date: June 23, 2021			
25		Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.			
26					
27	Secured Creditors, U.S. Bank Trust National Association as Trustee of the Lodg				
28					
	Series III Trast, C.S. Built Trust Patrollar Association as Trustee of the Chart Series I				

Case 09-29123-mkn Doc 1369 Entered 06/16/21 15:19:19 Page 2 of 21

1	Trust, U.S. Bank Trust National Association as Trustee of the Bungalow Series IV Trust, and		
2	U.S. Bank Trust National Association as Trustee of the Bungalow Series F Trust (collectively		
3	"Secured Creditors"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby file this Reply in Support		
4	of their Amended Motion to Clarify Pla	an Tro	eatment Regarding Various Real Properties (Doc.
5	1351, the "Motion").		
6	DATED: June 16, 2021	GHI	DOTTI BERGER LLP
7		By:	/s/ Regina A. Habermas, Esq.
8			Regina A. Habermas, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8481
9			415 S. 6 th Street, #310 Las Vegas, NV 89101
10			ghabermas@ghidottiberger.com Attorneys for Secured Creditors
11			Attorneys for Secured Creditors
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
2627			
28			
۵۵			
J	İ		

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Secured Creditors' Motion presented a narrow legal issue concerning the interpretation of the Plan¹ confirmed in this case and demonstrated the Court should enter an order concluding the Plan did not eliminate the escrow impounds of Secured Creditor's loans. Debtor Melani Schulte ("Schulte") filed a Response to the Motion (Doc. 1367, the "Response"), which fails to rebut the arguments in the Motion. Rather, the Response includes one key omission and one key admission that demonstrate the Motion should be summarily granted. First, the Response fails to address Secured Creditors' argument that the Plan's language is clear rather than ambiguous and that the plain language of the Plan demonstrates the escrow impounds were not eliminated. Second, the Response admits the Plan did not eliminate the escrow impounds. As such, the Court should grant the Motion on the basis of the Plan's plain language. Even if the Court concludes the Plan is ambiguous, the Motion established the parties did not intend to eliminate the Debtors' pre-bankruptcy obligations to make monthly escrow payments to Secured Creditors. The Response fails to establish any basis upon which the Court should interpret the Plan as having eliminated those obligations. Therefore, the Court should grant Secured Creditor's Motion.

I. ARGUMENT

A. The Plain Language of the Plan Demonstrates the Loans Continued to Include Escrow Impounds Following Entry of the Confirmation Order

The Motion demonstrated that, at the time this bankruptcy action was filed, the monthly payments due on Secured Creditor's loans included amounts for both principal and interest as well as escrow items. *See* SN Declaration, ¶¶ 5-13. The Motion also demonstrated that the Plan made three specific changes to the loans held by Secured Creditors: 1) the outstanding principal balance of the loan; 2) the interest rate; and 3) the term. And the language of the provisions that altered the loans is not subject to more than one reasonable interpretation. Rather, the language of those provisions clearly and unambiguously states that,

¹ Terms defined in the Motion have the same meaning when used in this Reply.

apart from the terms detailed in the Plan, the payment of Secured Creditor's claims would continue pursuant to "all other terms" of Secured Creditor's Notes and Deeds of Trust. *See, e.g.* Plan, Article II, Classification of Claims, Section 2.01, Class 2(y), Peaceful Canyon Claim (Doc. 912, p. 16). The Response fails to address this argument in any way and the Court should summarily grant the Motion on that basis. More important, the Response admits that the escrow impounds were not eliminated by the Plan. *See* Doc. 1367 at 3:25-26. As a result, the Court should enter the order requested in the Motion and confirm the obligation to make monthly escrow payments to Secured Creditors continued to exist following the entry of the Confirmation Order.

Schulte's attempt to qualify her admission regarding the continued existence of the escrow impounds does not require a different result. In the Response, Schulte states, "Schulte's Confirmed Plan did not eliminate the escrow impounds. The question is, who was and is to pay for it, Schulte directly or US Bank?" *See* Doc. 1367 at 3:25-27. However, there exists no such question outside of the Response because an escrow impound represents a portion of the monthly payment required to be made by a borrower to a mortgage lender or to the lender's servicer. As explained in the "Ask CFPB" section of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's website,²

An escrow account, sometimes called an impound account depending on where you live, is set up by your mortgage lender to pay certain property-related expenses.

The money that goes into the account comes from a portion of your monthly mortgage payment. An escrow account helps you pay these expenses because you send money through your lender or servicer, every month, instead of having to pay a big bill once or twice a year.

Many lenders require that you pay your taxes and insurance using escrow, so they can make sure that the bill gets paid. Your mortgage servicer will manage the escrow account and pay these bills on your behalf. Sometimes, escrow accounts may also be required by law.

² See https://www.consumerfinance.gov/ask-cfpb/what-is-an-escrow-or-impound-account-en-

^{140/.} A printout from the website is attached hereto as **Exhibit "B"** for the Court's convenience.

Thus, the responsibility to pay the escrow impounds belonged to Debtors prior to the confirmation of the Plan and remained with Debtors following confirmation. In addition, because the escrow impounds were included in the monthly mortgage payments, Debtors remained obligated to pay them, along with the payments of principal and interest, to the owners of the loans or to their servicers. Finally, any purported misunderstanding of what an escrow impound or escrow account is on the part of Schulte is belied by the fact that she obtained mortgage loans to finance her purchase of numerous real properties in Clark County, Nevada beginning as long ago as March 1994. *See, e.g.* Claim 5-1. The plain language of the Plan evidences the continued existence of the escrow impounds and the Court should enter an order confirming that Debtors remained obligated to make escrow payments to Secured Creditors following confirmation of the Plan.

B. Even If the Court Determines the Plan Is Ambiguous, the Evidence of the Parties' Intentions Demonstrates the Escrow Impounds Were Not Eliminated

Although the Response does not assert the language of the Plan is ambiguous, it impliedly argues the Court should look beyond the plain language of the Plan to determine the treatment of the escrow impounds. Schulte appears to argue that the agreements of the parties regarding plan treatment demonstrate the escrow portion of the monthly payments was eliminated. However, that argument is not persuasive for a number of reasons.

1. The Parties' Agreements Demonstrate the Continued Existence of the Escrow Impounds

Just as with the Plan's provisions, the parties' various agreements expressly altered only certain terms of the subject loans. Rather than eliminating the escrow impounds, these agreements repeated and reaffirmed the requirement that the borrowers remit monthly escrow payments to Secured Creditors along with the monthly payments of principal and interest. As detailed in the Motion, the holders of six of the claims at issue in the Motion entered into stipulations that contained identical language regarding the payments to be made to the lenders,

In addition to Principal and Interest Payments, <u>Debtors shall tender to Creditor</u> regular monthly payments for advances made by Creditor for the maintenance

of real property taxes and real property hazard insurances for the Subject Property.

See Desert Canyon Stipulation (Doc. 915), Stormy Valley Stipulation (Doc. 928), Saddle Horn Stipulation (Doc. 920), La Madre Stipulation (Doc. 918), Autostrada Stipulation (Doc. 903), San Ardo Stipulation (Doc. 902) (emphasis added). Rather than eliminating the requirement to include an escrow payment in each monthly payment made to Secured Creditors, this language affirmed that the Debtors would remain obligated to make regular monthly payments to Secured Creditors for escrow items.

In the Response, Schulte acknowledges these agreements obligated her to pay amounts that were advanced by Secured Creditors, but objects that no evidence of such payments was included in the Motion. *See* Doc. 1367 at 3:8-9. However, that objection ignores the narrow nature of the question raised in the Motion – whether the Debtors remained obligated to make payments for escrow items to Secured Creditors following confirmation of the Plan. And Schulte concedes she remained so obligated where such sums were advanced. The issue of whether the Debtors performed as required under the Plan is not before the Court.

The Peaceful Canyon Stipulation and Cheltenham Order contained different language, but also reiterated the obligation of each borrower to maintain real property taxes and insurance. *See* Doc. 863 and Doc. 593. And the Peaceful Canyon Stipulation contained language similar to that used in the Plan, "[e]xcept as otherwise expressly provided herein, all remaining terms of the Note and Deed of Trust shall govern the treatment of Creditor's Secured Claim." *See* Doc. 863 at 2:16-17. The language of these agreements reaffirmed the Debtors' existing obligations to make escrow payments pursuant to the subject Deeds of Trust, each of which expressly required the borrower to make such payments "to Lender" on the dates payments were due under the Notes until the Notes had been "paid in full." *See* Claim 5-1, Part 2, p. 2, Section 2. Funds for Taxes and Insurance; Claim 45-1, p. 11-12, Section 1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges and Section 3. Funds for Escrow Items.

It is also important to keep in mind that Debtors were the drafters of the Plan. If they

understood and intended the Peaceful Canyon Stipulation and Cheltenham Order to eliminate the obligation to make monthly escrow payments to the lenders, they should have amended the Plan so that it expressly eliminated that obligation. However, they failed to do so and the language regarding the treatment of the Peaceful Canyon Claim and Cheltenham Claim is identical to the treatment of the other claims. *See* Plan, Article II, Classification of Claims, Section 2.01, Class 2(y), Peaceful Canyon Claim (Doc. 912, p. 16); Class 2(h), Cheltenham Claim (Doc. 912, p. 37). As such, the Court should infer that, at the time of confirmation, Debtors did not intend or understand the agreements as eliminating the requirement that escrow payments be made to the lenders.

2. There Was No Agreement to Eliminate the Escrow Impound from the Lambert Claim

As noted in the Motion, the holder of the Lambert Claim did not enter into any agreement with Debtors regarding the treatment of that Claim. Thus, there was no agreement to eliminate the requirement to include sums for escrow items in the monthly payment due on that loan. Rather, the unaltered terms of the Deed of Trust remained as follows.

...Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3...

Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Funds") to provide the payment of amounts due for: (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can attain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property;...(c) premiums any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5;...These items are called "Escrow Items."

See Exhibit "A-2" to SN Declaration, p. 3-4, Section 1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges and Section 3. Funds for Escrow Items. The Response contains no argument regarding the treatment of the Lambert Claim and the Court should enter an order confirming the Debtors remained obligated to make escrow payments to the Secured Creditor holding that claim.

3. Schulte's Assertions Regarding the Post-Confirmation Conduct of the Parties Does Not Establish the Intent of the Parties at the Time of Confirmation

In the Response, Schulte alleges she never received monthly statements or information regarding escrow amounts due and, somehow, that demonstrates the Plan eliminated the obligation to make monthly escrow payments on the subject loans.³ However, as noted in the Motion, if a contract is ambiguous, a court must "effectuate the intent of the parties, which may be determined in light of the surrounding circumstances if not clear from the contract itself." *Sheehan & Sheehan v. Nelson Malley and Co.*, 121 Nev. 481, 487-88, 117 P.3d 219, 223-24 (2005) (citations omitted). The parties' post-confirmation actions are irrelevant to the Court's analysis of how the requirement to make escrow payments to Secured Creditors was treated under the confirmed Plan.

Rather, the surrounding circumstances to be considered in interpreting the Plan include the terms and conditions of the subject loans at the time this bankruptcy action was initiated and the parties' pre-confirmation agreements regarding the treatment of claims. As detailed in the Motion and SN Declaration, when this bankruptcy case was filed, the monthly payments owed to the lenders included amounts for principal and interest as well as escrow. *See* SN Declaration, ¶¶ 5-13. Further, as detailed in the Motion and above, the parties' agreements regarding the treatment of claims did not eliminate the required escrow portion of Debtors' monthly loan payments to Secured Creditors. The relevant evidence establishes the parties' intent to alter only the three terms specified in the Plan. Therefore, the Court should enter the order requested in the Motion.

C. Schulte's Remaining Assertions Are Equally Unpersuasive

The Response includes additional assertions that fail to establish any basis upon which the Court should deny the Motion. First, Schulte asks whether SN Servicing Corporation has standing to bring the Motion. *See* Doc. 1367 at 2:6-8. However, Secured Creditors filed the

³ Secured Creditors dispute Schulte's assertions regarding the post-confirmation conduct of the parties and reserve their right to present evidence contradicting those assertions in all further proceedings related to the subject loans.

Motion, not SN Servicing Corporation. There can be no dispute that the current holders of the claims secured by the subject properties have standing to request the Court interpret the treatment of their claims in the Plan.

Second, Schulte argues Secured Creditors have forfeited or waived any right to payment of the escrow impounds. However, the legal authority cited in support of this argument is inapposite because it concerns issues related to criminal appeals. *See, e.g. United States v. Depue*, 912 F.3d 1227 (9th Cir. 2019) (interpreting effect of waiver and forfeiture in criminal appeals under Fed. R. Crim. P. 52(b)); *United States v. Olano*, 507 U.S. 725, 113 S.Ct. 1770 (1993) (same; cited in *Hamer v. Neighborhood Housing Services of Chicago*, 138 S.Ct. 13 (2017). This legal authority has nothing to do with the issue raised in the Motion and no application in this bankruptcy action. More important, as noted above, the post-confirmation conduct of the parties is not relevant to the interpretation of the Plan.

Finally, to the extent the Court considers the issue of waiver, six of the stipulations concerning plan treatment state, "acceptance of a late or partial payment shall not act as a waiver of Creditor's right to proceed hereunder." *See* Desert Canyon Stipulation (Doc. 915), Stormy Valley Stipulation (Doc. 928), Saddle Horn Stipulation (Doc. 920), La Madre Stipulation (Doc. 918), Autostrada Stipulation (Doc. 903), San Ardo Stipulation (Doc. 902). As a result, the Response fails to demonstrate the Plan eliminated Debtors' obligation to make escrow payments to Secured Creditors and the Court should grant the Motion.

II. CONCLUSION

The Motion demonstrated the plain language of the confirmed Plan did not eliminate the escrow portion of the monthly payments owed to Secured Creditors. The Motion also demonstrated that, should the Court consider the parties' intentions while ruling on the Motion, the evidence demonstrates the parties did not agree to eliminate the requirement to make monthly escrow payments to Secured Creditors. The only Response to the Motion failed to demonstrate any basis upon which the Court should deny the Motion.

27 ||///

28 | | / / /

Case 09-29123-mkn Doc 1369 Entered 06/16/21 15:19:19 Page 10 of 21

	l .		
1	Therefore, Secured Creditors re	specti	fully request this Court enter an Order concluding
2	the Plan did not eliminate the requires	ment	that Debtors make monthly escrow payments to
3	Secured Creditors following confirmati	on.	
4	DATED: June 16, 2021	GHI	DOTTI BERGER LLP
5		By:	/s/ Regina A. Habermas, Esq.
6			Regina A. Habermas, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8481
7			415 S. 6 th Street, #310 Las Vegas, NV 89101
8			ghabermas@ghidottiberger.com
9			Attorneys for Secured Creditors
0			
1			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			10

EXHIBIT "B"



LAST REVIEWED: AUG 03, 2017

What is an escrow or impound account?

An escrow account, sometimes called an impound account depending on where you live, is set up by your mortgage lender to pay certain property-related expenses.

The money that goes into the account comes from a portion of your monthly mortgage payment. An escrow account helps you pay these expenses because you send money through your lender or servicer, every month, instead of having to pay a big bill once or twice a year.

Many lenders require that you pay your taxes and insurance using escrow, so they can make sure that the bill gets paid. Your mortgage servicer will manage the escrow account and pay these bills on your behalf. Sometimes, escrow accounts may also be required by law.

Your property taxes and insurance premiums can change from year to year. Your escrow payment—and with it, your total monthly payment (cfpb.gov/askcfpb/1941) will change accordingly.

Tip: If your loan doesn't include an escrow account, you will have to plan to pay these large expenses yourself. Be sure you budget for these extra costs and stay current on your taxes and insurance payments. If you fail to pay your property taxes, your state or local government may impose fines and penalties or place a tax lien on your home. You could also face foreclosure.

In addition, if you fail to pay your taxes or insurance, your lender may:

- Add the amounts to your loan balance
- Add an escrow account to your loan
- Purchase new homeowners insurance for you and bill you for it. This lender-purchased insurance, known as force-placed insurance (cfpb.gov/askcfpb/219)
 , is typically more expensive than homeowners insurance you pay on your own.

Even if your lender does not require an escrow account, consider

Don't see what you're looking for?

Browse related questions

Why did my monthly mortgage payment go up or change? (cfpb.gov/askcfpb/213)

What's the difference between a mortgage lender and a servicer? (cfpb.gov/as kcfpb/198)

What is homeowner's insurance? Why is homeowner's insurance required? (cf pb.gov/askcfpb/162)

Learn more about mortgages (cfpb.gov/consumer-tools/mortgages/)

1 of 2 6/16/2021, 9:06 AM

Case 09-29123-mkn Doc 1369 Entered 06/16/21 15:19:19 Page 13 of 21

Ver página en español (cfpb.gov/es/obtener-respuestas/que-es-una-cuenta-de-deposito-en-custodia-o-en-garantia-escrow-es-140/)

About us

We're the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), a U.S. government agency that makes sure banks, lenders, and other financial companies treat you fairly.

Learn how the CFPB can help you (cfpb.gov/about-us/the-bureau/)

Legal disclaimer

The content on this page provides general consumer information. It is not legal advice or regulatory guidance. The CFPB updates this information periodically. This information may include links or references to third-party resources or content. We do not endorse the third-party or guarantee the accuracy of this third-party information. There may be other resources that also serve your needs.

An official website of the United States government

2 of 2 6/16/2021, 9:06 AM

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On June 16, 2021, I served the foregoing documents described as Reply in Support of their Amended Motion to Clarify Plan Treatment Regarding Various Real Properties t on the following individuals by electronic means through the Court's ECF program:

COUNSEL FOR DEBTOR(S)

CHRISTOPHER PATRICK BURKE atty@cburke.lvcoxmail.com
DANIEL L. MCGOOKEY dmcgookey@mcgookeylaw.com

DAVID A RIGGI darnvbk@gmail.com STEVEN L. YARMY sly@stevenyarmylaw.com

US TRUSTEE

US Trustee USTPRegion17.lv.ecf@usdoj.gov

OTHER CREDITORS/ATTORNEYS

VINCENT J. AIELLO vaiello@spencerfane.com

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/ Brandy Carroll Brandy Carroll

On June 16, 2021, I served the foregoing documents described as Reply in Support of their Amended Motion to Clarify Plan Treatment Regarding Various Real Properties on the following individuals by depositing true copies thereof in the United States mail at Santa Ana, California enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage paid, addressed as follows:

Debtor(s)	Other Creditors:
MELANI SCHULTE	CitiMortgage Inc.
9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD. #2-351	P.O. BOX 6941
LAS VEGAS, NV 89117	The Lakes, NV 88901-6006
,	,
5218 MISTY MORNING LLC	Abn Amro Mortgage Grou
7201 W. LAKE MEAD BLVD.	Acct No xxxxx3148
LAS VEGAS, NV 89128	Po Box 9438,dept 0251
	Gaithersburg, MD 20898
HOT ENDEAVOR LLC	Ç.
7201 W LAKE MEAD BLVD	Affiliated Accep Crp
LAS VEGAS, NV 89128	Acet No xxxxxx0757
	Attn: Customer Service
2704 SATTLEY LLC	Po Box 790001
7201 W. LAKE MEAD BLVD. SUITE 550	Sunrise Beach, MO 65079
LAS VEGAS, NV 89128	
	Allied Collection Serv
1341 MINUET LLC	Acct No xxxxx0902
7201 W LAKE MEAD BLVD	Po Box 29299
LAS VEGAS, NV 89128	Las Vegas, NV 89126

1708 PLATO PICO LLC 7201 W LAKE MEAD BLVD LAS VEGAS, NV 89128

2228 WARM WALNUT LLC 7201 W LAKE MEAD BLVD LAS VEGAS, NV 89128

9425 VALLEY HILLS LLC 7201 W LAKE MEAD BLVD LAS VEGAS, NV 89128

9500 ASPEN GLOW LLC 7201 W LAKE MEAD BLVD LAS VEGAS, NV 89128

SABRECO INC. 7201 W LAKE MEAD BLVD #550 LAS VEGAS, NV 89128

KEEP SAFE LLC 7201 W LAKE MEAD BLVD #550 LAS VEGAS, NV 89128

WILLIAM R. SCHULTE 9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD. #2-351 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117

Other Creditors: Bank of America, N.A. Bankruptcy Dept. Mail Stop CA6-919-01-23 Simi Valley, CA 93065

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. PO Box 26012 NC4-105-03-14 Greensboro, NC 27420

Bank of Nevada Acct No xxxxx6328 PO BOX 26237 Las Vegas, NV 89126-0237

Barclays Bank Delaware Acct No xxxxxx0000 Attention: Customer Support Department Po Box 8833 Wilmington, DE 19899 Allison, Curtis, Kinsley, Meoz, Michae P.O. Box 911265 Dallas, TX 75391-1265

America's Servicing Company Bankruptcy Department 3476 Stateview Blvd Fort Mill, SC 29715

America's Servicing Company Acct No xxxxxx3971 PO Box 981 Frederick, MD 21705-0981

AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK FSB C/O BECKET AND LEE LLP POB 3001 MALVERN PA 19355-0701

Americas Servicing Co Acct No xxxxxxxx3971 Attention: Bankruptcy 1 Home Campus Des Moines, IA 50328

BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP fka Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, LP 7105 Corporate Drive PTX-B-35 Plano, TX 75024

BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP 1757 Tapo Canyon Road Simi Valley, CA 93063 Mail Code CA6-913-LB-11

BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP FKA COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP

7105 CORPORATE DRIVE PLANO, TX 75024

Bank of America Acct No xxxxxxxxx4599 PO Box 22031 Greensboro, NC 27420-1848

Bank Of America Acct No 9758 Po Box 1598 Norfolk, VA 23501 Caliber Home Loans, Inc. 13801 Wireless Way Oklahoma City, OK 73134

Central Mortgage Co Acct No xxxxx4705 Attention: Bankruptcy Dept.

1100 Virginia Drive Fort Washington, PA 19034

Chase

Acct No xxxxxxxx1110

Po Box 15298

Wilmington, DE 19850

Chase

Acct No xxxxxxx7443 201 N. Walnut St//De1-1027 Wilmington, DE 19801

Chase Bank USA, N.A. PO Box 15145

Wilmington, DE 19850-5145

CHASE HOME FINANCE, LLC FKA CHASE MANHATTAN MORTGAGE

CORP.

3415 VISION DR. COLUMBUS OH 43218

Chase Manhattan Mortgage

PO Box 78920

Phoenix, AZ 85062-8920

Citi

Acct No xxxxxxxx3143

Po Box 6241

Sioux Falls, SD 57117

CITIMORTGAGE INC PO BOX 140609

IRVING, TX 75019-0609

Citimortgage Inc Acct No xxxxx0064 Po Box 9438,dept 0251 Gaithersburg, MD 20898

Citimortgage Inc

Acct No xxxxxxx829-5

Bank Of America

Acct No xxxxxxxxx1199 4161 Piedmont Pkwy Greensboro, NC 27410

Bank of America P.O. Box 26237

Las Vegas, NV 89126-0237

Bank Of America Acet No 030 Po Box 17054

Wilmington, DE 19850

CITY NATIONAL BANK, N.A. C/O SHEA & CARLYON, LTD. 701 BRIDGER AVENUE, #850 LAS VEGAS, NV 89101

CLARK COUNTY ASSESSOR 500 S. GRAND CENTRAL PKWY

LAS VEGAS, NV 89155

Countrywide Home Loans

Acct No xxxx1662 PO Box 10219

Van Nuys, CA 91410-0219

Countrywide Home Lending

Acct No xxxxx6473

Attention: Bankruptcy SV-314B

Po Box 5170

Simi Valley, CA 93062

Deaner, Deaner, Scann, Malan, & Larsen

c/o Susuan Williams Scann, Esq. 720 S. Fourth Street Suite 300

Las Vegas, NV 89101

DEBORAH DRAKE 6111 FOX CREEK AVE LAS VEGAS NV 89122

DR. BERNARD GREENBLATT

c/o LAW OFFICE OF BRIAN D. SHAPIRO,

LLC

411 E. BONNEVILLE AVENUE

LAS VEGAS, NV 89101

PO Box 6006

The Lakes, NV 88901-6006

Citimortgage Inc. Acct No xxxxxx851-7

PO Box 8004

South Hackensack, NJ 07606-8004

CitiMortgage, Inc c/o Pite Duncan LLP

4375 Jutland Drive, Suite 200

P.O. Box 17933

San Diego, CA 92177-0933

City National Bank Acct No xxx4409 PO Box 60938

Los Angeles, CA 90060-0938

CITY NATIONAL BANK

C/O FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. LAUREL E.

DAVIS, ESQ.

300 S FOURTH STREET, STE 1400

LAS VEGAS, NV 89101

First American Title

c/o Harrison, Kemo, Jones & Coulthard

3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Seventeenth Floor

Las Vegas, NV 89169

First Hawaiian Bank Acct No xxxxxxxx0035

Po Box 1959

Honolulu, HI 96805

FIRST HAWAIIAN BANK-LOAN RECOVERY

CENTER POB 4070

HONOLULU HI 96812-9941

First National Bank Credit Card Center

Acct No xxxxxxxxxx2737

Attention: Bankruptcy Department Po Box 3331 Stop Code 3105

Omaha, NE 68103

First Nationwide Mtg Acct No xxx5955 Po Box 9438,dept 0251

Gaithersburg, MD 20898

Equity Title, LLC

c/o Meier & Fine, LLC

2300 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 430

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Federal National Mortgage Association

PO Box 2008

Grand Rapids, MI 49501-2008

Fidelity Bank PO BOX 2937

Wichita, KS 67201

Fidelity Bank 100 E English

PO Box 1007

Wichita, KS 67202

Fifth Third Bank Acet No xxxxx6682

C/O Bankruptcy Dept, Mdropso5

1850 East Paris

Grand Rapids, MI 49546

Fifth Third Mortgage Company

5050 Kingsley Dr.

1MOC20

Cincinnati, OH 45263

JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association

7255 Bay Meadows Way Jacksonville, FL 32256

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

c/o Tiffany & Bosco, P.A.

212 South Jones Blvd.

Las Vegas, NV 89107

Langlands & Gross LLP

2655 Bay Canyon Drive Suite 190

Las Vegas, NV 89128

LINDSEY H MORALES, ESQ.

MCCALLA RAYMER LEIBERT PIERCE, LLP

1635 VILLAGE CENTER CIRCLE SUITE 130

LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

Litton Loan Servicing, L.P.

Bankruptcy Department

4828 Loop Central Drive

Houston, TX 77081-2226

Grant & Weber Acct No xxxxx5192 861 Coronado Center Dr S Henderson, NV 89052

Green Tree Servicing LLC PO Box 6154 Rapid City, South Dakota 57709

Green Tree Servicing LLC 7360 S. Kyrene Rd. Recovery Dept - T120 Tempe, Az 85283

Home Comings Financial Acct No xxxxxx4689 Attention: Bankruptcy Dept 1100 Virginia Drive Fort Washington, PA 19034

Homecomings Financial Acct No xxx5505 PO Box 205 Waterloo, IA 50704-0205

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CENTRALIZED INSOLVENCY P.O. BOX 21126 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19114

Jeff Sylvester, Esq. Sylvester & Polednak, LTD. 7371 Prairie Falcon Rd., Suite 120 Las Vegas, NV 89128

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. CHASE RECORDS CENTER MAIL CODE LA4-5555 700 KANSAS LANE MONROE, LA 71203

Natl Cty Crd Acct No xxxxxxxx1161 4653 E Main Street Columbus, OH 43213

Ncb/cols Acct No xxxxxxxx6149 Attn: Bankruptcy 6750 Miller Rd Brecksville, OH 44141

NCO Financial Systems Acet No xxxx3276 Litton Loan Servicing, LP 1270 Northland Drive, Suite 200 Mendota Heights, Minnesota 55120

Marquis & Aurback c/o Micah Echols 120001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, NV 89145

Melvin Elizer and Maxine Llewellyn c/o Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq. Santoro, Driggs, Walch, et al. 400 S. 4th St., 3rd Fl. Las Vegas, NV 89101

Midland Mortgage Acct No xxxx2811 PO Box 268888 Oklahoma City, OK 73126

Midland Mortgage Company Acet No xxxx2811 Attn: Bankruptcy Po Box 26648 Oklahoma City, OK 73216

MTGLQ Investors, L.P. c/o Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing PO Box 10826 Greenville SC 29603

Nationstar Mortgage LLC PO Box 619096 Dallas, TX 75261-9741

RICHARD F. HOLLEY, ESQ.
OGONNA M. ATAMOH, ESQ. SANTORO
DRIGGS WALCH KEARNEY, HOLLEY & T
400 SOUTH FOURT STREET, 3RD FL.
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101

Seterus, Inc. PO Box 1047 Hartford, CT 06143

Seterus, Inc., et al, its assignees and/or successors in interest c/o Prober & Raphael, A Law Corporation 20750 Ventura Blvd., Suite 100 Woodland Hills, CA 91365 507 Prudential Road Horsham, PA 19044

Nevada Federal Cred Un Acct No xxxxxxx9490 2645 S Mojave Rd Las Vegas, NV 89121

Nevada Law Group 2920 N. Green Valley Parkway Bldg. 3, #313 Henderson, NV 89014

Nevada State Bank Acct No xxxxxxxxxxxx1979 2185 S 3270 W Salt Lake City, UT 84119

Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC Attn: Bankruptcy Department 1661 Worthington RD. Suite 100 West Palm Beach, FL 33409

Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC Attn: Bankruptcy Department 1100 Virginia Drive, Suite 175 Fort Washington, PA 19034

Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC Attn: Bankruptcy Dept. PO Box 24605 West Palm Beach, FL 33416-4605

Oren and Socorro Lilly Lopez-Goldsteind c/o Ann E Kolber Esq LAW PRACTICE LTD 5516 South Fort Apache Road Suite 110 Las Vegas, NV 89148

PYOD LLC its successors and assigns as assignee of Citibank c/o Resurgent Capital Services PO Box 19008 Greenville, SC 29602

Unvl/citi Acct No xxxxxxxx1655 Attn.: Centralized Bankruptcy Po Box 20507 Kansas City, MO 64195

Us Bank Acct No xxxxxxx6098 SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. ATTN: JEFFREY R. SYLVESTER, ESQ. 7371 PRAIRIE FALCON ROAD, SUITE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89128

The Bank of New York Mellon fka The Bank of New York, as Trustee c/o BAYVIEW LOAN SERVICING, LLC 4425 Ponce de Leon Blvd., 5th Floor Coral Gables, Florida 33146

The Bank of New York Mellon c/o BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP 2270 Lakeside Boulevard Mail Stop: R-A-3-118 Richardson, TX 75082

The Bank of New York Mellon c/o BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP 1757 Tapo Canyon Road Mail Stop: CA6-913-LB-11 Simi Valley, CA 93063

The Bank of New York Mellon et al c/o BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP 7105 Corporate Drive PTX-B-35 Plano, TX 75024

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON FKA THE BANK OF NEW Y c/o Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing PO BOX 10826 Greenville, SC 29603-0826

U S Bank Acct No xxxxxxxx0535 101 5th St E Ste A Saint Paul, MN 55101

U.S. BANK TRUST, N.A. c/o Caliber Home Loans, Inc. PO Box 24330 Oklahoma City, OK 73124

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. P. O. Box 14469 MAC X2303-01A Des Moines, IA 50306-9655 Attn: Bankruptcy Dept.

P.O. Box 5229

Cincinnati, OH 45201

Us Bank

Acct No xxxxxxx9098

Po Box 790084

Saint Louis, MO 63179

US Bank Trust National Association

c/o BSI Financial Services

1425 Greenway Dr., ste 400

Irving TX 75038

Vincent J. Aiello, Esq.

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Washington Mutual

Acct No xxxxxx8181

PO Box 660139

Dallas, TX 75266-0139

Washington Mutual Mortgage

Acct No xxxxxxxxx8181

Attention: Bankruptcy Dept.

JAXA 2035

7255 Bay Meadows Way

Jacksonville, FL 32256

Wells Fargo

Acct No xxxxxxxxxx1998

PO Box 4233

Portland, OR 97208-4233

Wells Fargo

Acct No xxxxxxxxxxxx0001

Po Box 60510

Los Angeles, CA 90060

Wells Fargo

Acct No xxx1753

PO Box 14547

Des Moines, IA 50306-3547

Wells Fargo Bank NA

PO Box 10438

Des Moines, IA 50306-0438

Wells Fargo Bank Nv Na

Acct No xxxxxxxxxxxx1998

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.

BDD Bankruptcy Dept, MAC s4101-08c

100 W. Washington St.

Phoenix, AZ 85003

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.

Home Equity Group X2303-01A

1 Home Campus

Des Moines, IA 50328-0001

Wells Fargo Card Ser

Acct No xxxxxxxx0301

Po Box 5058

Portland, OR 97208

Wells Fargo Hm Mortgag

Acct No xxxxxx4851

8480 Stagecoach Cir

Frederick, MD 21701

WILMINGTON SAVINGS FUND SOCIETY,

FSB

C/O BDFTW

20955 PATHFINDER RD., STE. 300

DIAMOND BAR, CA 91765

WILMINGTON SAVINGS FUND SOCIETY,

FSB,

D/B/A CHRISTIANA TRUST, NOT

INDIVIDUALLY BUT AS TRUSTEE FOR

PRETIUM MORTGAGE

c/o BARRETT DAFFIN FRAPPIER

TREDER&WEISS 7251 WEST LAKE MEAD

BLVD SUITE 300

LAS VEGAS, NV 89128

ZIEVE, BRODNAX & STEELE, LLP

9435 West Russell Road, Suite 120

Las Vegas, NV 89148

Po Box 31557 Billings, MT 59107	

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/ Brandy Carroll Brandy Carroll